303 Third Street

Cambridge, Massachusetts



Brian Tufts AE 482 – Thesis Advisor: Dr. Ali Memari Revised Thesis Final Report April 27, 2008

THESIS ABSTRACT



303 Third Street Cambridge, MA Mixed-Use Development

BRIAN TUFTS - STRUCTURAL OPTION

Project Team

Owner: Extell Development Corporation & Equity Residential Architect: Cetra/Ruddy Inc GC/CM: Bovis Lend Lease Structural Engineer: McNamara/Salvia Inc MEP Engineer: MGJ Associates Civil Engineer: Tetra Tech Rizzo Geotechnical Consultant: McPhail Associates, Inc

Structural System

- * 5" slab on grade reinforced concrete
- * 20' deep caissons bear on 3 TSF bearing material
- * WF beams and girders typically cambered 1/2" 11/2"
- * Floors typical composite construction 41/2" slabs
- * 25' typical beam span

Electrical System

- * 2 primary power distribution boards
- * 2 300 kVA transformers provide 120/208V to panels
- * Backup power via 750 kW generator

General Building Data

Location: 303 Third St Cambridge, MA Number of Floors: Between 5 and 8 above grade 2 below grade parking levels Occupancy: Primarily residential with some retail Size: 485,227 SF residential and 7,500 SF retail Construction Date: July 2006 - October 2008 Total Project Development Cost: \$246 million Delivery Method: Design-bid-build with a GMP

Mechanical System

- * 2 cooling towers each for north and south building totaling ~150.000 CFM per building
- * 5 water cooled AC units serice lobby and fitness areas
- * 14 rooftop air conditioning units service corridors
- * 4 boilers totaling 23,300 MBH

Lighting System

- Residential units typically lit with 208V pole mounted lights with 175W Metal Halide lamps
- Service areas lit with 120V flush mounted fixtures with two T5 lamps

Architecture

330 Third Street is a large mixed-use development situated in urban Cambridge. MA. The site is located a short distance from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and other prominent Cambridge landmarks. As such, 303 Third Street aims to create a green outdoor space within the site and a modern, elegant façade to attract busy city professionals. It consists of two large building (North and South) segments forming a U, with a green space filling the center. The design seeks to maximize rentable space while maintaining a comfortable living environment for its occupants.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	4
Credits/Acknowledgements	5
Introduction	6
Site and Architecture	7
Depth Study	8
Codes	7
Computer Modeling	12
Composite Steel System	12
Open-Web Steel Joist System	13
Vibration Performance/Serviceability	15
Lateral System Overview	17
Comparison	18
Conclusions	37
Mechanical Breadth Study	
Architectural/Materials Breadth Study	41
Summary/Conclusions	43
Appendix	44

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

303 Third Street is a cutting edge residential apartment building currently in the final framing stages in upscale Cambridge, MA. The building utilizes composite steel framing with lightweight concrete slabs, braced frames in each direction, some moment frames, and a large concrete below grade parking structure. Before the building is completed, the following thesis has been prepared to document some alternative building systems that could have been utilized.

Building weight is a major contributor to seismic base shear. In areas where seismic activity is a concern and typically governs lateral design, whenever a building can be made lighter, the lateral system can be decreased. The decrease in lateral bracing members, moment connections, as well as frame beam and column sizes can help decrease the overall cost of a project. It is in the best interest of the building owner to have as lightweight of a structure as possible.

Open-web steel joists are a great way to minimize the structural weight of a building. The joists themselves are lightweight and much more efficient in terms of quantity of material versus strength. By optimizing the material performance, a joist is able to span great distances and carry considerable load. It is also easier to frame with steel joists because they are much lighter than steel members and the connections are generally simpler to make.

Steel joists have drawbacks though, which is why they have not taken over as a predominant framing system. In office occupancies, steel joist systems may cause serviceability issues such as vibration. Vibration is a major reason why steel joists have been avoided in recent years. With lower weight framing systems, the dynamics of buildings is harder to predict and occupant comfort is a very important part of engineering, after all it is a customer based industry. There are also fireproofing issues with steel joists as it is very difficult to spray them with cementitious fireproofing without wasting a lot of material and still maintaining the proper cement coating for fire rating.

The purpose of my depth study was to evaluate the implementation of an open-web steel joist system at 303 Third Street in terms of building structure, performance, and serviceability. The breadth studies seek to determine the potential LEED accreditation of 303 Third Street, as Cambridge is a very intellectual area where people value and desire the implementation of green design. By fine tuning the structural system, evaluating the building envelope performance, and researching additional green materials, this report aims to provide alternative strategies for building design.

After a careful analysis of the building system, it was determined that steel joists are a viable alternative framing system for 303 Third Street. The typical bay analyzed passed the qualifications of Design Guide 11 for walking excitation and an appropriate alternative fire proofing strategy was found. Furthermore, the mechanical breadth study determined that the existing building façade does not meet the Massachusetts Energy Code and remediation strategies were recommended. LEED accreditation of 303 Third Street would not add a great deal of cost to the building and would pay long term dividends to the developer.

CREDITS/ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

McNamara/Salvia Inc. Consulting Engineers - Boston, MA

Specifically

Mark Aho, P.E.

John Matuszewski, P.E.

Adam McCarthy, P.E.

Robert McNamara, P.E., S.E.

Joseph Salvia, P.E.

William Waterston, AIA - Wiss, Janney, Elstner Associates, Inc. - Cambridge, MA

Hank Klein - Extell Development Company -Boston, MA

Andrew Copelotti - Equity Residential -Boston, MA

Mark Pasciuto - Bovis Lend Lease LMB, Inc.- Boston, MA

Woth Ngan - Bovis Lend Lease LMB, Inc.- Boston, MA

Martin Turnbull - AHA Consulting Engineers - Lexington, MA

INTRODUCTION

303 Third Street is a \$246 million project that consists of a north and south building, ranging in story number from five to eight, which are joined below grade by two parking levels spanning nearly the entire area of the site. The building is a mixed use facility planned to offer 485,227 SF of rentable residential space and 7,500 SF of retail space. 303 Third Street is situated on a 3.3 acre site urban site a short distance from the Massachusetts subway system as well as the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

303 Third Street is a steel frame building with composite floor slabs. Lateral load resistance is provided by both moment frames and concentrically braced frames. The braced frames add stiffness in the plane of the lateral load and transfer the load to the columns. The moment frames rely on the strength of the connection between the floor slab and the columns for translation of loads vertically.

The Massachusetts State Building Code -6^{th} Edition was used in the design of 303 Third Street. My analyses primarily rely on the use of the Building Officials and Code Administrators (BOCA) code of 1993 which the technical provisions of the Massachusetts State Building Code are based on. Also, I used the Thirteenth Edition of the AISC Steel Construction Manual in performing my calculations. Small discrepancies between my own calculations and those of the engineers are expected due to load assumptions and design methodology. In no way does this report make the claim that any of the designer's approaches, assumptions, calculations or resulting designs are incorrect or unsuitable.



Figure 1: Site Plan

SITE AND ARCHITECTURE

Architecture:

330 Third Street is a large mixed-use development situated in urban Cambridge, MA. The site is located a short distance from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and other prominent Cambridge landmarks. Cambridge is known for its technology companies, diverse population, and progressive attitude. As such, 303 Third Street aims to create a green outdoor space within the site and a modern, elegant façade to attract busy city professionals.

303 Third occupies a 3.3 acre site and consists of two large building (North and South) segments forming a U, with a green space filling the center. Parking is available via two below grade parking levels. The design seeks to maximize rentable space while maintaining a comfortable living environment for its occupants.

Site:

Zoning: 303 Third Street is located in Cambridge Zone PUD-KS which is designed for mixed use – office, residential, and retail spaces of at least 40,000 SF.

An existing 1-2 story brick building was located at the northeast corner of the site and an existing 1-story brick building fronts onto Potter Street to the south. The southwest and southeast corners of the site are occupied by electrical and steam easements, respectively. The portions of the site not occupied by the existing buildings were typically blanketed by bituminous concrete pavement. The existing ground surface across the subject site was relatively flat, prior to construction

Building envelope:

Floor-to-ceiling heights are typically 10'-0" and the exterior is sheathed primarily in a curtain wall with a terra cotta veneer. This gives the building a regal appearance which is quite breath-taking in contrast to the metal sheathing on other curtain walls. The intent of the design is to encourage busy city professionals to settle down near Kendall Square and MIT, just a short walk from the subway.

By varying the heights of the various buildings, 303 Third Street creates an active roofline giving different angles for the occupants and spectators. Buildings vary in number of floors from 5 to 8 above grade floors. The roof system consists of roof girders supporting 3 in x 16 gage composite deck with 3 ¹/₄ lightweight concrete and waterproofing membrane.

STRUCTURAL DEPTH STUDY

Problem:

303 Third Street is designed utilizing composite action between concrete slab and steel beams. The weight of this system is significantly more than if the floor system were designed using K-Series open web steel joists supporting the floor slab.

Increased structure weight results in larger member sizes of columns and more bracing, since an increased structure weight increases the seismic base shear. Since 303 Third Street is situated in Cambridge, MA with poor soil conditions, reducing the seismic load of the building would save money by possibly reducing the number of moment connections necessary in the building and reducing the number and/or size of braced frame members.

In an effort to save construction and material costs, 303 Third Street was redesigned utilizing open web steel joists as the primary floor system. Using BOCA 1993, Massachusetts State Building Code-6th Edition, and joist catalogues as well as finite element software (RAM Structural System), it will be determined whether or not this alternative floor system is a viable alternative to the as-designed system.

Code:

Massachusetts State Building Code – 6th Edition

Design Criteria:

Gravity Loads:

• Uniformly Distributed Loads:

40 psf
1 psf
5 psf
57 psf
2 psf
6 psf
5 psf
3 psf
20 psf

Live Load Reduction: Where live load reductions are permitted by code,

 $L = NL_o$

Where N = the largest of the following:

 $N = 1 - 0.0008 (A_T - A_B)$

 $N = 0.75 - 0.20 (D_0 / L_0)$

N = 0.50 for member supporting load from more than one floor, or 0.60 for members supporting loads from only one floor.

and,

L = Reduced design live load for the member,

 $L_o =$ Basic design live load.

 D_O = Dead load on member

 A_T = Loaded area tributary to the member, square feet

 A_B = Basic tributary area defined as follows:

 $A_B = 100$ SF for members supporting load from more than one floor.

 $A_{\rm B}$ = 250 SF for members supporting load from one floor only.

- <u>Snow Loads:</u> Snow Zone = 2, 30 psf
- <u>Wind Loads:</u> Wind Zone = 3 Exposure B

Basic Wind Speed (mph) = 90

Base Velocity Pressure: Pv = 26 psf

Seismic Loads:Aa = 0.12S = 3.0R = 5.0Cd = 4.5, N/SAv = 0.12R = 5.0Cd = 4.5, E/W

Combination of Loads:

D = Dead LoadW = Wind Load L = Live Load E = Seismic Load S = Snow Load

Basic Load Combinations Strength Design

- 1. 1.4 Dead
- 2. 1.3 Dead + 1.6 floor live + 0.5 roof live (or 0.5 snow)
- 3. 1.3 Dead + 0.5 floor live + 1.6 roof live (or 1.6 snow)
- 4. 1.3 Dead + 0.5 floor live + 0.5 roof live (or 0.5 snow) + 1.3 wind
- 5. 1.3 Dead + 1.6 roof live (or 1.6 snow) + 0.8 wind
- 6. 0.9 Dead 1.3 wind
- 7. 1.3 Dead + 1.0 floor live + 0.7 snow + 1.0 seismic
- 8. $(0.90 0.5 A_v)$ Dead 1.0 seismic

Alternate Seismic Load Combinations Strength Design (when required by Seismic Provisions)

- 9. 1.3 Dead + 1.0 floor + 0.7 snow +/- (2R/5) seismic
- 10. (0.9-0.5 Av) Dead +/- (2R/5) seismic

Deflection of Flexural Members:

• Steel Members: Limit live load deflection to span/360 or 1" max.

Beams Supporting Masonry: Limit deflection to span/ 600 or ³/₄" max. under weight of masonry plus live load.

Composite Steel Beams: Camber for 85% of computed deflection under weight of wet concrete within the standard practice described in AISC Manual, 8th Edition, Page 1-123.

Building Drift:

Wind: Limit each story drift to story height/500.

Seismic: Limit each story drift to story height/50.

<u>Secondary Drift Effects:</u> Account for "p-delta" forces created by building drift. Approximate method is to increase lateral loads by an amplification factor.

Reference Design Standards:

• Structural Steel:

"Specification for Design, Fabrication and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings", AISC – 5326-78.

"Specification for Structural Joints Using ASTM A325 or A490 Bolts", AISC – 5314-78.

"Structural Welding Code", AWS D1.1-79.

• Lightgage Steel Deck and Joists:

"Specification for Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members", AISI – 86.

"Specification for Welding Sheet Steel in Structures", AWS D1.3-78.

"Steel Deck Diaphragm Design Manual", SDI – 87.

• Reinforced Concrete:

"Building Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete", ACI 318-95.

"Reinforced Steel Welding Code", AWS D12.1-75.

• Masonry:

"Specifications for Design and Construction of Load Bearing Concrete Masonry", NCMA -70.

"Building Code Requirements for Masonry", ANSI A41.1-70.

COMPUTER MODELING

Composite Steel System:



Figure 2: Composite Steel Joist System - RAM Model

In order to compare the two floor systems, an accurate computer model of the existing design structural system was created using the same design criteria. Extra care was taken to assign the appropriate member sizes where the model deviated from the structural construction documents. It is also important to note that both models were created from the ground up. If a heavier alternative system was to be analyzed by this method, the model would need to start from the foundation, because the foundations would need to be augmented. However, since steel joist systems are significantly lighter than composite steel construction, it was assumed early in the modeling process that the existing foundations would be more than adequate for the redesign.



Figure 3: Typical Bay – Composite Steel Joists

Open-Web Steel Joist System:



Figure 4: Typical Bay – Composite Steel Joists – RAM Model

RAM Structural System is slightly limited when it comes to designing an open-web steel joist system. RAM will not factor in member self weight or design joist-girders. Using the Vulcraft joist catalog and analyzing the typical bay (see Figure 5), an average floor dead load of 24.8 psf was added to the entire building structure. This accounted for the joists, slab system, deck, MEP allowance, and ceiling construction (see Figure 6) as well as the 1.3/1.2 multiplier which must be used to scale up dead loads for the Massachusetts State Building Code to comply with the load combinations listed in the Design Criteria.



Figure 5: Typical Bay - Open-Web Steel Joists



Figure 6: North Building Ceiling Sections

Vibration Criteria/Serviceability:

Whenever an open-web steel joist system is proposed for an office, residential, or industrial building, a couple major questions must be answered. How will it be fireproofed? Will vibration cause the occupants to be dissatisfied with the building's performance?

After discussions with engineers in the field, the best way to fireproof steel joists is by using spray-on cementitious fireproofing. The process is not simple as typically the joists may be wrapped in chicken wire first and then sprayed, or just sprayed outright. Since the joist system application at 303 Third Street would allow the majority of the 12K series joists to be within the ceiling cavity, the best alternative would be to specify a fire rated ceiling assembly. Figure 7 shows a 2 hour Underwriter's Laboratory specified ceiling assembly for a joist that is within the ceiling.

Gyps	Gypsum Wallboard Floor/Ceilings - Steel Framing (steel joists with concrete floor) (CAD FILE NAME GOLDP.DWG OR GOLDP.DWG OR GOLDP.DWG OR GOLDP.DWF)									
No.	No. Fire Rating Ref. Design No. Description						11	C		
							No Carpet	Carpet & Pad		
1	1 hr.	OSU	T-1936	5/8' (15.9 mm) Fire-Shield Gypsum Wallboard screw attached to furring channels spaced 24" o.c. (610 mm) attached to steel bar joists spaced 24" o.c. (610 mm). Concrete floor 2" (51 mm) thick.	53	Based on NGC 4075	21 Based on NGC 5121	67 Based on NGC 5122		
	2 hr. UL G503 5/8' (15.9 mm) Fire-Shield Gyp 5/8' (15.9 mm) Fire-Shield Kal- screw attached to furring channe (005 mm) strached to screw attached to furring channe	5/8' (15.9 mm) Fire-Shield Gypsum Wallboard or 5/8' (15.9 mm) Fire-Shield Kal-Kore plaster base screw attached to furring channels spaced 12' o.c. (205 mm) attached to or susnended from steel bar	53	(Direct) Based on NGC 4075	21 Based on NGC 5121	67 Based on NGC 5122				
				joists spaced 24' o.c. (610 mm). Concrete floor 2 1/2' (63.5 mm) thick.		(Susp.) Based on NGC 4078	28 Based on NGC 5126	75 Based on NGC 5127		

Figure 7 - Steel Joist Fireproofing Assembly courtesy of National Gypsum Company

To evaluate the floor system for vibration considerations, AISC Design Guide 11- *Floor Vibrations Due to Human Activity* was used. Analyzing the typical bay for walking excitation would give the design engineer a good idea whether or not the steel joist system would be acceptable for residential as well as a future retrofit for office space occupancies. Since a lot of vibration issues occur due to a lack of slab dead load or joists that are not deep enough, it was decided early in the redesign process not to alter the slab dimensions from the original design.

Since the majority of vibration complaints occur in office spaces, the typical bay (Figure 5) was analyzed for a future office space retrofit. Analyzing the 12K1 joists with VLH24 joist-girders with a 3" deck and 3 ¹/₄" LWC topping, it was found that the deflections induced in the joists and girders were quite close ($\Delta j = 0.186$ in and $\Delta g = 0.266$ in). The frequency of the system was determined to be 5.263 Hz and consequently $a_0/g = 0.003$, which is below the acceptable upper bound of 0.005 for an office and residential occupancy. It can be safely assumed that for the current residential occupancy for the typical bay, vibration will not be an issue if the proposed open-web steel joist system is implemented.

LATERAL SYSTEM OVERVIEW

After designing the gravity system, tuning of the lateral system was performed. Since the joist system significantly reduced the building weight, seismic story shears were decreased substantially. To save additional steel tonnage, the lateral system could then be lightened up, but must still comply with AISC Standard Provisions as well as the Seismic Provisions, which limit bracing members based on width/thickness ratio (b/t), strength, and braced length (KL/r) under amplified loading.

Due to the relatively poor soil conditions on site, seismic governed the lateral system design. The appendix contains RAM Structural System printouts which show the story force breakdown for both the wind and seismic forces as well as drift data. As was brought up during presentation, connection detailing is primarily performed by the steel erector. For this reason, larger members were specified where special braced frames were used to allow for the translation of lateral forces through beam links. This is particularly evident along Braced Frame 4 (page 22) and Braced Frame 3 (page 21). Care would need to be taken when reviewing the shop drawings that the inverted V connection along the link beam is sufficient to provide the R value used in the design of the braced frame (R=5).

In addition to braced frames, moment frames are located in both directions. The moment frames consist of larger W sections to provide additional stiffness in both directions. Note that the columns have been rotated in areas where moment frames were selected to use the major axis of the W section column in the plane of loading. The following comparison section will discuss the advantages of the proposed lateral system.



04/05/08 15:06:49 Steel Joist ł ataBase: 303 Third Street



BRACED FRAME I

BRACED FRAME Z





BRACED FRAME 4



MOMENT FRAME L



MOMENT FRAME Z

















MOMENT FRAME 3

Γ	C2x4rW	W14×53	89x41W	89x41W	28x4rW	28x41W	01x4rW	601×41W	(11
W14x43	W14x43	W14x48	W14x48	W14x61	W14x61	W14x74	W18x65		
	M14×23	W14×53	89x41W	89x41W	W14x82	W14×82	601×41W	601×41W	<u>(</u> 4 L
W14x43	W14x43	W14x48	W14x48	W14x61	W14x61	W14x74	W18x65		
	W14×53	M14×23	89×41W	89×41W	W14x82	MI4×82	601x41W	601x41W	
W14x43	W14x43	W14x48	W14x48	W14x61	W14x61	W14x74	W18x65		
	W14x53	M14×23	89x41W	89×41W	28x41W	W14×82	601×41W	601×41W	2ш
W14x43	W14x43	W14x48	W14x48	VV14x61	W14x61	W14x74	W18x65		
	E2x41W	W14×23	89x41W	89×41W	W14x82	W14x82	601×41W	601×41W	оц
W14x43	W14x43	W14x48	W14x48	19×114×61	W14x61	W114x74	W18x65		
	M14×23	M14x53	89x41W	89x41W	W14x82	W14×82	601×41W	601×41W	00 Li
		ŀ	5	29	ł	le l	1	1	
α	1 0	_ (0 4	• C	4 0	0 0	N T	-	



04/05/08 15:06:49 Steel Joist 1 Third Street 303 ataBase:



1

	Г	19x41W	M14x61	M14x74	₽Zx₽rW	W14x82	W14x82	601×41W	601x41W	% C
	W14x43	W14x43	W14x48	W14x48	W14x61	W14x61	W14x68	W18x65		
		M14×61	19x41W	89x41W	89x41W	M14x82	W14×82	601x41W	M14×109	
FRAME 6	W14x43	W14x43	W14x48	W14x48	W14x61	W14x61	W14x68	W18x65		° c
5		19x41W	rax≯rW	89x4rW	89x4rW	W14×82	W14x82	601x41W	601×41W	NC
WOW	W14x43	W14x43	W14X48	W14x48	W14x61	W14x61	W14x68	W18x65		
		19x41W	19x41W	47x41W	\$7x\$1W	W14×82	W14x82	601×41W	601×41W	% Z
	W14x43	W14x43	W14x48	W14x4B	W14x61	W14x61	W14x68	W18x65		
		19x41W	19x41W	89x41W	M14×68	28x41W	28×⊅1W	601x41W	60LxplM	°0 ≥
	1		- ((ו ו ס ע	32	۱ ۲		4 -	-	



BRACED FRAME II



BRACED FRAME 12



COMPARISON

	Composite Steel	Steel Joists
W (Kips)	33744.66	32111.80
V (Kips)	1551.23	1476.17
Max Drift (in)	1.554	1.758
Gravity Col (Tons)	117.6	115.2
Lateral Col (Tons)	196.6	178.9
Lateral Beams/Braces (Tons)	234.1	196.6
Total Tonnage (Cols + Lateral)	548.3	490.7
Cost	\$1,919,050.00	\$1,717,450.00
Savings		\$201,600.00

Figure 8 - Comparison Chart - Columns and Lateral System

Using current pricing figures obtained from McNamara/Salvia Inc, an approximate cost savings due to decreasing column sizes, slimmer bracing members, and smaller lateral beams was calculated based on the tonnage. The approximate cost for steel including union erection in Boston, MA is about \$3500 per ton of steel. Since RAM does not size joist girders, a gravity beam takeoff could not be performed to quickly determine how many tons of open-web steel joists would be required. However, in a previous tech report the RSMeans catalog had been used to calculate a rough cost/SF for the typical bay beam framing (Figure 9).

Criteria 💽 💽	Composite 💌	Steel Joist 💌		
Cost/SF	27.25	22.54		
Fireproofing	Spray On	Special Detail		
Constructability	Medium	Easy		
Deflection Issues	None	None		
Vibration		Below		
Resistance	Average	Average		
Slab Width	6.25"	6.25"		
Total Depth	20.25"	20.25"		
Weight relative to		Slightly		
Orig Design	As Designed	Lighter		
Durability Issues	Steel Fatigue	Steel Fatigue		
Column Grid				
Changes	No	No		
Lateral System				
Effects	No	Minor		
Viable Solution?	Yes	Yes		

Figure 9 – Comparison Chart – Typical Bay Framing

Conclusions:

Open-web steel joists are a viable alternative solution for 303 Third Street. All concerns regarding the implementation of the system were alleviated once a careful side-by-side analysis of the two systems was performed. Boston is regarded in the profession as a "steel city." Union erectors prefer the typical composite steel joists system that was originally designed. If more developers became aware of the potential savings due to a lighter framing system, open-web steel joist systems may become more commonplace. The major drawback is the vibration considerations and the lack of an easy back of the envelope vibration check. The dynamic properties of vibration analyses are a hindrance to the easy implementation of a steel joist system devoid of vibration issues. More study in the field of floor vibrations will eventually lead to the easier implementation of open-web steel joist floor systems.

MECHANICAL BREADTH STUDY

After consulting with a local mechanical engineer, it was determined that the most effective way for improving a building's energy efficiency is through the building envelope. Focusing on the Massachusetts Energy Code, the baseline energy efficiency can be determined by using a compliance check program (COMCheck 3.5.3). The program allows the user to input specific parameters such as the building location, building area, wall U-values, window U-values and the corresponding wall and window areas. Using the regional azimuth angles with the appropriate wall orientations, the program uses the ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals procedure for calculating the approximate code compliance.



Figure 12 - Typical Wall Sections - North Building

Using the two wall sections above, appropriate U values were calculated using the ASHRA Handbook of Fundamentals Chapter 20 – Design Heat Transmission Coefficients along with the printed resistance values obtained from manufacturer data (when available). See Figures 13 and 14.

Metal Panel Wall					
Material	Resistance (R)				
Outside Air Film	0.17				
Loose-Lock Seam Metal Panel	0.61				
2" Extruded Polystyrene Board Insulation	5.4/in				
5/8" Densglass	0.47				
Interior Air Space	0.97				
5/8" Gypsum Wall Board	0.56				
Interior Air Film	0.68				
ΣR	14.26				
U	0.07013				

Figure 13 – U-Value Calculation for Metal Panel Wall

Terra Cotta Wall					
Material	Resistance (R)				
Outside Air Film	0.17				
Terra Cotta Veneer	0.22				
2" Extruded Polystyrene Board Insulation	5.4/in				
3/4" Cement Board	0.52				
Interior Air Space	0.97				
5/8" Gypsum Wall Board	0.56				
Interior Air Film	0.68				
ΣR	13.92				
U	0.07184				

Figure 14 – U-Value Calculation for Terra Cotta Wall

Using the architectural elevations, appropriate wall areas and window areas were calculated for each elevation. The internet was used to obtain appropriate U-values and SHGC values for the specified ¼" clear single-pane flat glass specified in the bid package Project Specifications dated January 27, 2006. After running COMCheck for the Massachusetts Energy Code, the building envelope fails the current code by about 30% (see Figure 15).

🔏 303 third.cck - COMcheck 3.5.3 Code: Massachusetts Commercial Code 📃 🗖 🔀										
File Edit View Options Code Help										
n c≟ ⊡ V ⊫										
Project Envelope	Interior L	ighting Mechanical								
Roof Skylight	Ext. Wall	Int. Wall Window		Door Basem	ent Floor					
Component Orientation Assembly Construction Details Gross Area Cavity Insulation Insulation R-Value R-Value SHG						SHGC				
Building										
1 🚍 Exterior Wall 1	East 💌	Other	•		8394	ft2			0.070	
2 Window 1	East	Metal Frame:Single Pane	•	Glazing: Cl 💌	3207	ft2			1.100	0.78
3 🗐 Exterior Wall 2	East 💌	Other	•		8394	ft2			0.072	
4 Window 2	East	Metal Frame:Single Pane	•	Glazing: Cl 💌	3207	ft2			1.100	0.78
5 🖨 Exterior Wall 3	North 📃 💌	Other	•		25808	ft2			0.072	
6 Window 3	North	Metal Frame:Single Pane	•	Glazing: Cl 💌	7319	ft2			1.100	0.78
7 🖨 Exterior Wall 4	North 📃	Other	•		11061	ft2			0.070	
8 Window 4	North	Metal Frame:Single Pane	•	Glazing: Cl 💌	3137	ft2			1.100	0.78
9 🖨 Exterior Wall 5	West 💌	Other	•		9257	ft2			0.072	
10 Window 5	West	Metal Frame:Single Pane	•	Glazing: Cl 💌	2669	ft2			1.100	0.78
11 🖨 Exterior Wall 6	West 💌	Other	•		3967	ft2			0.070	
12 Window 6	West	Metal Frame:Single Pane	•	Glazing: Cl 💌	1144	ft2			1.100	0.78
13 🖨 Exterior Wall 7	South 💌	Other	Ŧ		16839	ft2			0.072	
14 Window 7	South	Metal Frame:Single Pane	-	Glazing: Cl 💌	5297	ft2			1.100	0.78
15 😑 Exterior Wall 8	South 💌	Other	-		7217	ft2			0.070	
16 Window 8	South	Metal Frame:Single Pane	-	Glazing: Cl 💌	2270	ft2			1.100	0.78
Envelope FAILS: Desig	n 30% worse	than code			Env	elop	e -30%	Interior Lightir	ng TBD] 🕜

Figure 15 - COMCheck Input Parameters and Envelope Energy Compliance

Upgrading from single pane glass to double pane glass improves energy code compliance from - 30% to -17%. A 13% increase in energy performance could qualify the building for 2 LEED points under the Optimize Energy Performance section. A 14% increase in energy cost savings versus the ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1-2004 baseline building performance rating corresponds to 2 LEED points.

This quick analysis using the COMCheck utility allows the mechanical engineer to alert the architect that the building envelope as designed may not meet the appropriate energy code. The low wall section R values are a major contributor to the building's failure of the current Massachusetts energy code.

With increased window efficiency comes increased initial cost. After calling a few architects for a rough cost/SF for single versus double-paned clear glass, an increase from \$35/SF to \$45-50 can be expected. This cost includes window framing and installation. To obtain the LEED credit and the corresponding decreased energy costs over the course of the building life, the increased initial cost is well worth it. Depending on the rental agreement, by increasing energy efficiency of the building envelope and including heat in the rent, the owner may be able to make more money off of the units and the building may actually meet the building energy code.

ARCHITECTURAL MATERIALS BREADTH STUDY

To achieve a LEED Bronze rating, a minimum of 26 points must be earned. A fair amount of these points can be earned by employing a conscientious contractor who will take special care to minimize construction waste. However, a lot can be done by the architect to ensure that appropriate recycled, reused, local, low-emitting, and renewable materials are employed. By researching manufacturers in the Massachusetts area, some alternative materials were found to improve the LEED qualification of 303 Third Street.

Since the residential space of 303 Third Street is intended to be rented out as apartments, the use of a carpet floor may not be in the owner's best interest. In rental spaces, carpets are usually worn out exceptionally fast due to lack of maintenance and care on the part of the renter. A great way to avoid the hassle of replacing the carpet every time a new renter moves in is to install durable, rapidly renewable bamboo or cork flooring.

Grade A bamboo flooring can be purchased at about \$2/SF depending on the supplier. Bamboo flooring comes in multiple shades and hardness. Since bamboo is technically a grass, it can grow in China up to a foot in a week. This rapid growth qualifies bamboo as a rapidly renewable resource. The downside to bamboo is that it is almost exclusively produced grown in China and it is very hard to verify that the bamboo is naturally grown or if forests were destroyed to provide the space necessary to grow it. As this is the case, it does not qualify as a regional material, but it does qualify for Materials and Resources Credit 6 – Rapidly Renewable Materials. The low cost and durability may make this a very appealing alternative to the building owner.

An alternative floor system that may qualify for two LEED credits is cork flooring. Though less durable than bamboo, cork flooring produced in the United States is often comprised of at least 10% recycled cork from wine stoppers (Materials and Resources Credit 4.1 – Recycled Content: 10%). Since the majority of cork comes from Spain, the floors do not qualify as a regional material, but if a certain percentage can be proven recycled locally, the developer can count that toward LEED certification. Cork is inherently softer than bamboo and thus more likely to be damaged by renters. Cork flooring is also more expensive than bamboo flooring at over \$3/SF.

After performing the building envelope mechanical breadth, it became apparent that the exterior walls need better insulation. A great insulator that has a total recycled content of 82% is blown in cellulose insulation. Cellulose insulation also required only 750 btu/lb to manufacture as compared to 12,000 btu/lb for standard fiberglass insulation. The initial cost for cellulose insulation is a bit higher than fiberglass, but it is more than made up for by the increased performance as well as the environmental impact. Figure 16 shows the U-value calculation for each wall type if 3" of cellulose insulation was blown in to the metal stud cavity.

Metal Panel Wall		Terra Cotta Wall	
Material	Resistance (R)	Material	Resistance (R)
Outside Air Film	0.17	Outside Air Film	0.17
Loose-Lock Seam Metal Panel	0.61	Terra Cotta Veneer	0.22
2" Extruded Polystyrene Board Insulation	5.4/in	2" Extruded Polystyrene Board Insulation	5.4/in
5/8" Densglass	0.47	3/4" Cement Board	0.52
3" Blown-in Cellulose Insulation	3.7/in	2" Blown-in Cellulose Insulation	3.7/in
Interior Air Space	0.97	Interior Air Space	0.97
5/8" Gypsum Wall Board	0.56	5/8" Gypsum Wall Board	0.56
Interior Air Film	0.68	Interior Air Film	0.68
ΣR	25.36	ΣR	25.02
U	0.03943	U	0.03997

Figure 16 – U Values for Cellulose Insulated Walls

Cellulose insulation would contribute toward LEED Materials and Resources Credit 4.1: Recycled Content, Credit 5.1: Regional Materials, and Energy and Atmosphere Credit 1: Optimize Energy Performance. There is a cellulose insulation manufacturer (National Fiber) located in Belchertown, MA, which is about 80 miles from Cambridge. Cellulose insulation would be an easy way to improve the energy efficiency of 303 Third Street without having a negative impact on the environment.

SUMMARY/CONCLUSIONS

Every small step involved in designing a building has immense consequences toward building efficiency in terms of energy costs and building performance. Coordinating an efficient design that optimizes the use of materials structurally, architecturally, and mechanically is a challenge that every team of engineers and architects accept. Through the introduction of new building methods and materials, buildings will continue to be more efficient as materials become more scarce and expensive. What may cost more initially often is the cheaper alternative when taking in to account the life span of the building. Developers must be more cognizant of the payback period of certain upgrades so that new technologies are adopted more readily.

By reducing the weight of the structural system, the controlling lateral load (seismic) was reduced and thus initial costs were saved in terms of tons of steel needed to erect 303 Third Street. Steel joists are a viable alternative floor system when fire rated ceiling assemblies are utilized and vibrations are controlled. Pressure must be exerted on contractors and unions to adopt new construction methods that promote the efficiency of building systems because it costs the developers more money in initial cost and drains the environment of resources. The alternative floor system proposed for 303 Third Street is a viable alternative to composite steel framing for this residential occupancy.

To achieve a LEED Bronze certification, 26 points need to be earned. By increasing the efficiency of the building envelope, using rapidly renewable materials, regional materials, and hiring a responsible general contractor who will manage site waste, 26 LEED points would add minimal initial cost to 303 Third Street. The location of the building is ideal for LEED because there are many credits that could be easily earned due to it's urban location under Sustainable Sites (Credits 1, 2, 3, 4.1, 4.2, etc). To appeal to the intelligent Cambridge society, LEED accreditation would be a feather in the cap to 303 Third Street, making it more rentable.

APPENDIX





Gravity Column Design TakeOff



RAM Steel v11.2 DataBase: 303 Third Street - Steel Joist Building Code: IBC

Steel Grade: 50

I section

Size	#	Length (ft)	Weight (lbs)
W12X40	149	3031.5	120692
W12X45	14	285.0	12704
W12X50	15	300.0	14904
W12X53	23	520.0	27603
W12X58	13	280.0	16197
W12X65	11	260.0	16898
W12X72	2	50.0	3590
W12X79	5	125.0	9868
W12X87	3	75.0	6533
W12X96	1	15.0	1439
	236		230429



Level: Roof

Floor Area (ft**2): 28467.1

Columns:

Wide Flange:				
Steel Grade: 50				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
W14X43	5	52.5	2251	
W14X48	6	63.0	3023	
W14X53	6	63.0	3344	
W14X61	21	220.5	13430	
	38		22048	0.77
Beams:				
Wide Flange:				
Steel Grade: 50				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
W12X26	2	36.2	941	•
W12X30	10	180.8	5409	
W14X43	14	329.9	14145	
W14X26	2	45.5	1191	
W14X34	2	48.0	1633	
	30		23319	0.82
Braces:				
Tube:				
Steel Grade: Other				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
HSS5X5X1/4	2	27.7	405	
HSS5X5X3/8	3	42.7	899	
HSS5X5X1/2	22	307.8	8254	
	27		9559	0.34
Level: Eighth				
Floor Area $(tt^{**2}): 29363.8$				
Columns:				
Wide Flange:				
Steel Grade: 50				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
W14X43	5	50.0	2144	
W14X48	6	60.0	2879	
W14X53	6	60.0	3185	
W14X61	21	210.0	12791	
	38		20998	0.72

Beams:

Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
Sile		ft	lbs	psf
W12X26	3	54.2	1412	-
W12X30	9	162.7	4868	
W14X43	14	329.9	14145	
W14X26	2	45.5	1191	
W14X34	2	48.0	1633	
	30		23249	0.79

Steel Grade: Other				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
HSS5X5X3/8	3	41.6	875	
HSS5X5X1/2	22	299.7	8035	
HSS6X4X1/2	2	27.0	123	
	27		9633	0.33
	27		7055	0.55
Lavel: Seventh				
Elevel: Seventin Floor Area $(fr**2)$: 42191 5				
110011110a (it 2). 12191.5				
Columns:				
Wide Flange:				
Steel Grade: 50				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
W14V48	5	II 50.0	105 2200	psi
W14X48 W14X61	3	30.0	1827	
W14X68	43	430.0	29263	
W14X74	3	30.0	2225	
	5	2010	2220	
	54		35715	0.85
_				
Beams:				
Wido Elance				
wide riange: Steel Grade: 50				
Size	#	Longth	Woight	∐nitWt
Size	TT I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	ft	lhs	nsf
W12X26	5	90.4	2354	PSI
W12X30	12	217.0	6490	
W14X43	2	41.9	1797	
W14X48	18	432.0	20727	
W14X26	2	54.8	1435	
W14X34	2	48.0	1633	
	41		34436	0.82
Process				
Braces.				
Tube:				
Steel Grade: Other				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
HSS5X5X3/8	4	52.1	1096	
HSS5X5X1/2	34	464.5	12456	
HSS7X7X5/8	2	31.2	1488	
			15040	0.26
	40		15040	0.50
Land Cath				
Eloor Area (ff**2): 42101 5				
110017110a (1t 2). 42171.5				
Columns:				
Wide Flange:				
Steel Grade: 50		.		
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
W114V40	E	1t		pst
vv 14A40 W1AY61	3	30.0	2099 1877	
W14X01 W14X68	43	430.0	20263	
W14X74	3	30.0	22203	
11121/1	5	50.0	2223	
	54		35715	0.85
Beams:				
W/: J - T1				
Wide Flange:				
Size	#	Longth	Waight	InitW/4
SILC	#	ft	lhs	nef
W12X26	5	90.4	2354	r51
	-	-		



RAM Frame v11.2 DataBase: 303 Third Street - Steel Joist

Size

Intervational Building Code: IBC					
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt	
W12X30	12	217.0	6490		
W14X43	2	41.9	1797		
W14X48	17	408.0	19575		
W14X26	2	54.8 72.0	1435		
W14A34	5	72.0	2430		
	41		34101	0.81	
Braces:					
Tuka					
Tube: Steel Grade: Other					
Size	#	Length	Weight	∐nitWt	
5120	π	ft	lhs	nsf	
HSS5X5X3/8	4	52.1	1096	Por	
HSS5X5X1/2	34	464.5	12456		
HSS7X7X5/8	2	31.2	1488		
			15040	0.26	
	40		15040	0.36	
Level: Fifth					
Floor Area (ff^{**2}) : 43/61.6					
Columns:					
Wide Flange:					
Steel Grade: 50		T 4	XX7 • 1 /	TT •/337/	
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt	
W14X68	7	10 70.0	108 4764	psi	
W14X00	28	280.0	25248		
W14X82	19	190.0	15516		
	54		45529	1.04	
	54		45528	1.04	
Beams:					
Wide Flange:					
Steel Grade: 50					
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt	
WI OVO	2	ft	Ibs	psf	
W12X20	3	54.Z	1412		
W12X50 W14X48	14	233.2 A1 9	2011		
W14X26	2	54.8	1435		
W14X61	17	408.0	24851		
W14X34	2	48.0	1633		
W14X38	1	24.0	915		
	41		39829	0.91	
Brooss					
Tube: Steel Credes Other					
Size	#	Longth	Weight	I mitW/t	
Size	#	ft	lbs	psf	
HSS4X4X3/8	2	25.1	409	P	
HSS5X5X3/8	4	60.1	1264		
HSS5X5X1/2	30	404.4	10845		
HSS7X5X1/2	2	27.0	894		
HSS7X7X5/8	2	31.2	1488		
	40		14899	0.34	
Lovel Ferneth					
Floor Area (ft**2): 43761.4					
Columns:					
W7.4. Flammer					
steel Grade: 50					

#

Length ft Weight lbs

UnitWt

psf



Building Court inc					
Sizo	#	Longth	Woight	UnitWt	
Size	#	Length	weight	Unitvit	
W14X68	/	/0.0	4/64		
W14X90	28	280.0	25248		
W14X82	19	190.0	15516		
			45579	1.04	
	54		45526	1.04	
Beams:					
Wide Flange:					
Steel Grade: 50					
Size	ц	Longth	Waight	T	
Size	#	Length	weight	Unitwi	
		it	lbs	pst	
W12X26	2	36.2	941		
W12X22	1	18.1	399		
W12X30	14	253.2	7572		
W14X48	2	41.9	2011		
W14X20	2	72.0	2011		
W14X30	3	72.0	2108		
W14X26	2	54.8	1435		
W14X61	17	408.0	24851		
	41		39377	0.90	
	11		57511	0.20	
Descent					
Braces:					
Tube:					
Steel Grade: Other					
Size	#	Longth	Woight	∐nitWt	
5120	π	Length	Weight	Unitvit	
/	_	п	IDS	psi	
HSS5X5X3/8	2	27.0	567		
HSS5X4X3/8	4	60.1	1120		
HSS5X5X1/2	28	377.5	10122		
HSS7X7X5/8	2	31.2	1488		
1155,11,115,0	-	0112	1.00		
			12207	0.20	
	30		13297	0.30	
oval. Third					
Elevel, Timu Elevel, f_{rad} (ff**2): 42760.6					
Floor Area (11^{+2}) : 45760.6					
Columns:					
Wide Flange					
Steel Grade: 50					
Steel Glade. 50	"	т 4	*** * 1 4	TT •/337/	
Size	#	Length	weight	Unitwt	
		ft	lbs	psf	
W14X90	3	30.0	2705		
W14X99	2	20.0	1980		
W14X109	30	300.0	32666		
W14V120	12	120.0	15615		
W14A12U	15	150.0	13015		
W14X132	6	60.0	7922		
	54		60888	1.39	
Beams:					
Louilly.					
Wide Flange:					
Steel Grade: 50					
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt	
		Ŭ ft	lhs	nsf	
W12Y26	r	36.7	0/1	P.1	
W12A20	<u>ل</u> ۱	10.2	200		
W12X22	1	18.1	399		
W12X30	14	253.2	7572		
W14X30	3	72.0	2168		
W14X26	2	54.8	1435		
W14X61	- 2	41 0	2553		
W14V20	2 7	169.0	11422		
W14A08	/	108.0	11433		
W14X74	10	240.0	17803		
	41		44305	1.01	

Braces:

Tube: Steel Grade: Other



Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
HSS5X3X1/2	2	27.0	552	P31
HSS5X4X3/8	4	60.1	1120	
HSS5X5X1/2	28	377.5	10122	
HSS7X7X5/8	2	31.2	1488	
	36		13283	0.30
vel: 2nd				
Floor Area (ft**2): 44373.7				
Columns:				
Wide Flange:				
Steel Grade: 50				
Size	#	Length ft	Weight	UnitWt
W14X90	3	45.0	4058	psi
W14X99	2	30.0	2971	
W14X109	30	450.0	48999	
W14X120	13	195.0	23423	
W14X132	6	90.0	11882	
	54		91332	2.06
Beams:				
Wide Flange:				
Steel Grade: 50				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
W12X14	1	18.1	256	-
W12X26	2	36.2	941	
W12X30	13	235.1	7031	
W14X22	2	52.0	1148	
W14X30	2	50.0	1506	
W14X26	2	54.8	1435	
W14X34	1	26.0	885	
W14X38	1	26.0	991	
W18X35	2	48.0	1682	
W18X65	17	408.0	26517	
W18X71	2	41.9	2967	
W21X73	1	18.1	1323	
	46		46682	1.05
Braces:				
Tube:				
Steel Grade: Other				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
	-	ft	lbs	psf
HSS5X3X1/2	2	35.0	718	
HSS5X4X3/8	4	74.9	1397	
HSS5X5X1/2	23	421.3	11297	
HSS7X7X5/8	4	78.1	3721	
	33		17132	0.39
OTAL STRUCTURE FRAME TAKEOFF				
Elecar Area (6**2), 217971 2				
11001 AICa (IL 2): 31/8/1.2				

Columns:

Wide Flange: Steel Grade: 50				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
W14X43	10	102.5	4395	-
W14X48	22	223.0	10699	
W14X53	12	123.0	6529	
W14X61	48	490.5	29876	
W14X90	62	635.0	57259	



Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt	
W14X68	100	1000.0	68054		
W14X74	6	60.0	4451		
W14X99	4	50.0	4951		
W14X82	38	380.0	31033		
W14X109	60	750.0	81665		
W14X109	26	325.0	39038		
W14X120	12	150.0	10804		
W14X152	12	150.0	19804		
	400		257754	1.12	
	400		557754	1.15	
Beams:					
Wide Flange:					
Steel Grade: 50					
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt	
		ft	lbs	psf	
W12X14	1	18.1	256		
W12X26	24	434.0	11297		
W12X22	2	36.2	797		
W12X30	98	1772.2	53005		
W14X22	2	52.0	1148		
W14X43	32	743.7	31884		
W14X30	8	194.0	5842		
W14X48	39	923.8	44324		
W14X26	16	420.0	10990		
W14X61	36	857.9	52255		
W14X34	12	290.0	9868		
W14X54	7	168.0	11/33		
W14X00	2	50.0	1006		
W14X36	10	240.0	17803		
W14A/4	10	240.0	1/803		
W18A33	2	48.0	1082		
W18X65	17	408.0	26517		
W18X71	2	41.9	2967		
W21X73	1	18.1	1323		
	311		285298	0.90	
Braces:					
Tube					
Steel Grade: Other					
Size	#	Longth	Woight	UnitWt	
SILC	#	Length	weight Ika	Unitvit	
HSS4¥4¥3/8	2	25.1	105	har	
HSS54541/0 HSS57571/4	2	23.1	409		
ПЭЭЭЛЭЛ1/4 ЦСС5У2У1/2	2	27.7 62.0	403		
ПЭЭЭЛЭЛ1/2	4	02.0	12/0		

В

				T T 1 / T T/
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
HSS4X4X3/8	2	25.1	409	
HSS5X5X1/4	2	27.7	405	
HSS5X3X1/2	4	62.0	1270	
HSS5X4X3/8	12	195.1	3637	
HSS5X5X3/8	20	275.6	5796	
HSS5X5X1/2	221	3117.3	83585	
HSS6X4X1/2	2	27.0	723	
HSS7X5X1/2	2	27.0	894	
HSS7X7X5/8	14	234.3	11163	
	279		107882	0.34

Note: Length and Weight based on Centerline dimensions.

04/10/08 10:24:46

LOAD CASE:	SEISMIC 2						
Seismic	BOCA	96/99 Equivalent	Lateral Force				
Av: 0.120		Aa: 0.120		Soil Type: S3			
Provisions	for: Force						
Ground Le	vel:	Base					
Dir	Eccent	R	Ta Equation		Building Period-T		
Х	+ And -	5.0	Std,Ct=0.020		Calculated		
Y	+ And -	5.0	Std,Ct=0.020		Calculated		
Dir	Та	Ca	Т	T-used	Cs	k	
Х	0.562	1.620	1.916	0.911	0.0460	1.206	
Y	0.562	1.620	1.455	0.911	0.0460	1.206	
Total Build	ling Weight (kips) = 32111.80					
APPLIED DIA	PHRAGM FOF	RCES					
Type: EQ	BOCA96/99 X ·	+E F					
Level		– Diaph.#	Ht	Fx	Fy	Х	Y
		1	ft	kips	kips	ft	ft
Roof		1	85.50	395.18	0.00	-199.35	-113.68
Eighth		1	75.00	189.10	0.00	-199.03	-113.10
Seventh		1	65.00	310.60	0.00	-199.04	-104.05
Sixth		1	55.00	187.61	0.00	-198.72	-108.01
Fifth		1	45.00	161.79	0.00	-190.79	-113.10
Fourth		1	35.00	113.60	0.00	-195.35	-110.20
Third		1	25.00	76.15	0.00	-195.37	-110.20
2nd		1	15.00	42.12	0.00	-197.16	-109.85
APPLIED STO	ORY FORCES						
Type: EQ_	BOCA96/99_X_	+E_F					
Level		Ht	Fx	Fy			
		ft	kips	kips			
Roof		85.50	395.18	0.00			
Eighth		75.00	189.10	0.00			
Seventh		65.00	310.60	0.00			
Sixth		55.00	187.61	0.00			
Fifth		45.00	161.79	0.00			
Fourth		35.00	113.60	0.00			
Third		25.00	76.15	0.00			
2nd		15.00	42.12	0.00			
			1476.17	0.00			
APPLIED DIA	PHRAGM FOR	RCES					
Type: EQ	BOCA96/99 X -	-E F					
Level		 Diaph.#	Ht	Fx	Fv	Х	Y
		.1	ft	kips	kips	ft	ft
Roof		1	85.50	395.18	0.00	-199.35	-137.24
Eighth		1	75.00	189.10	0.00	-199.03	-136.65
Seventh		1	65.00	310.60	0.00	-199.04	-128.66
Sixth		1	55.00	187.61	0.00	-198.72	-132.62
Fifth		1	45.00	161.79	0.00	-190.79	-137.71
Fourth		1	35.00	113.60	0.00	-195.35	-134.81
Third		1	25.00	76.15	0.00	-195.37	-134.81
2nd		1	15.00	42.12	0.00	-197.16	-134.45
APPLIED STO	DRV FORCES						
Type: FO	BOCA96/99 X	FF					
Level		L_I Ht	Fx	Fv			
Level		ft	kins	kine			
Roof		85 50	395 18	0.00			
Eighth		75.00	189 10	0.00			
Seventh		65.00	310.60	0.00			
Sixth		55.00	187.61	0.00			
Fifth		45 00	161 79	0.00			
Fourth		35.00	113 60	0.00			
Third		25.00	76.15	0.00			
2nd		15.00	42.12	0.00			
2		10.00	12.12	0.00			
			1476.17	0.00			
APPLIED DIA Type: EO	APHRAGM FOF BOCA96/99 Y	RCES +E F					
Level		– Diaph.#	Ht	Fx	Fv	Х	Y
		*	ft	kips	kips	ft	ft
Roof		1	85.50	0.00	395.18	-179.08	-125.46



Loads and Applied Forces

RAM Frame v11.2 DataBase: 303 Third Street - Steel Joist Page 2/3 04/10/08 10:24:46

DataBase. 505 Third St	lieet - Steel Joist					04/10/08 10.24
Eighth	1	75.00	0.00	189.10	-178.75	-124.88
Seventh	1	65.00	0.00	310.60	-178.29	-116.35
Sixth	1	55.00	0.00	187.61	-177.98	-120.32
Fifth	1	45.00	0.00	161.79	-170.04	-125.41
Fourth	1	35.00	0.00	113.60	-174.61	-122.50
Third	1	25.00	0.00	76.15	-174.63	-122.51
2nd	1	15.00	0.00	42.12	-176.41	-122.15
APPLIED STORY FORCES						
Type: EO BOCA96/99 Y +	E F					
Level	 Ht	Fx	Fv			
	ft	kips	kips			
Roof	85 50	0.00	395.18			
Eighth	75.00	0.00	189 10			
Seventh	65.00	0.00	310.60			
Sixth	55.00	0.00	187.61			
Fifth	45.00	0.00	161 79			
Fourth	35.00	0.00	113.60			
Third	25.00	0.00	76.15			
2nd	15.00	0.00	42.12			
2110	15.00	0.00	42.12			
		0.00	1476.17			
APPLIED DIAPHRAGM FOR	CES					
Type: EQ_BOCA96/99_YI	E_F					
Level	Diaph.#	Ht	Fx	Fy	Х	Y
		ft	kips	kips	ft	ft
Roof	1	85.50	0.00	395.18	-219.63	-125.46
Eighth	1	75.00	0.00	189.10	-219.31	-124.88
Seventh	1	65.00	0.00	310.60	-219.79	-116.35
Sixth	1	55.00	0.00	187.61	-219.47	-120.32
Fifth	1	45.00	0.00	161.79	-211.53	-125.41
Fourth	1	35.00	0.00	113.60	-216.10	-122.50
Third	1	25.00	0.00	76.15	-216.12	-122.51
2nd	1	15.00	0.00	42.12	-217.91	-122.15
APPLIED STORY FORCES						
Type: EQ_BOCA96/99_YI	E_F					
Level	Ht	Fx	Fy			
	ft	kips	kips			
Roof	85.50	0.00	395.18			
Eighth	75.00	0.00	189.10			
Seventh	65.00	0.00	310.60			
Sixth	55.00	0.00	187.61			
Fifth	45.00	0.00	161.79			
Fourth	35.00	0.00	113.60			
Third	25.00	0.00	76.15			
2nd	15.00	0.00	42.12			
		0.00	1476.17			



LOAD CASE: WIND 2

BOCA 96/99 Wind Exposure: В Basic Wind Speed (mph): 90.0 Importance Factor: 1.000 Internal Pressure Coeff GCpi: +0.25/-0.25 (Condition 1) Mean Roof Height (ft): Top Story Height + Parapet = 85.50 Ground Level: Base

WIND PRESSURES:

Gh = 1.330	CpWindwar	d = 0.80		Pv = 20.74 ps	f	
Height	Kz	CpLeeW	ard	Pressu	re (psf)	
ft		Х	Y	Х	Y	
85.50	0.798 -	-0.356	-0.500	25.412	28.586	
75.00	0.752 -	-0.356	-0.500	24.416	27.591	
65.00	0.706 -	0.363	-0.500	23.550	26.568	
55.00	0.656 -	0.363	-0.500	22.436	25.454	
45.00	0.600 -	·0.363 ·	-0.500	21.202	24.220	
35.00	0.556 -	·0.363 ·	-0.500	19.804	22.822	
23.00	0.462 -	0.303	-0.500	16.102	21.179	
13.00	0.368	0.363	-0.300	16.093	19.111	
0.00	0.508	0.505	-0.500	10.095	19.111	
APPLIED DIAPHRAGM	FORCES					
Type: Wind_BOCA96/	99_X Diamh #	114	Ex	Ex	v	v
Level	Diapn.#	Ht	FX	Fy	A A	Ϋ́ Α
Doof	1	П 95-50	x1ps	Kips	Π 210.10	П 129.45
K001 Eishth	1	85.50	50.02	0.00	-210.10	-128.43
Eighth Seventh	1	73.00	56.50	0.00	-210.10	-128.43
Sixth	1	55.00	55.16	0.00	-214.81	-127.11
Fifth	1	45.00	52.10	0.00	-214.81	-125.79
Fourth	1	35.00	48.64	0.00	-214.81	-125.79
Third	1	25.00	44 52	0.00	-214.80	-125.79
2nd	1	15.00	50.35	0.00	-214.80	-125.79
	F 0	10100	00100	0100	211101	120119
Type: Wind BOCA96	ES 99 X					
Level	Ht	Fx	Fv			
Lever	ft	kins	kins			
Roof	85.50	31.01	0.00			
Eighth	75.00	59.02	0.00			
Seventh	65.00	56.59	0.00			
Sixth	55.00	55.16	0.00			
Fifth	45.00	52.11	0.00			
Fourth	35.00	48.64	0.00			
Third	25.00	44.52	0.00			
2nd	15.00	50.35	0.00			
		307.40	0.00			
		577.40	0.00			
APPLIED DIAPHRAGM	FORCES					
Type: Wind_BOCA96/	99_Y					
Level	 Diaph.#	Ht	Fx	Fy	Х	Y
		ft	kips	kips	ft	ft
Roof	1	85.50	0.00	60.15	-210.10	-128.45
Eighth	1	75.00	0.00	114.70	-210.10	-128.45
Seventh	1	65.00	0.00	108.92	-212.45	-125.79
Sixth	1	55.00	0.00	105.54	-214.81	-125.79
Fifth	1	45.00	0.00	100.39	-214.81	-125.79
Fourth	1	35.00	0.00	94.53	-214.82	-125.79
Third	1	25.00	0.00	87.59	-214.80	-125.79
2nd	1	15.00	0.00	100.56	-214.82	-125.79
APPLIED STORY FORC	ES					
Type: Wind_BOCA96/	99_Y					
Level	Ht	Fx	Fy			
	ft	kips	kips			
Roof	85.50	0.00	60.15			
Eighth	75.00	0.00	114.70			
Seventh	65.00	0.00	108.92			
Sixth	55.00	0.00	105.54			
f 111h Eaurth	45.00	0.00	100.39			
rourth	35.00	0.00	94.53			
2nd	23.00	0.00	07.39 100 56			
2110	15.00	0.00	100.50			

772.38



CRITERIA:

Rigid End Zones: Include Effects: 50.00% Red				
Member Force Output:		At Face of Joint		
P-Delta:	Yes	Scale Factor:	1.33	
Ground Level:	Base			
Wall Mesh Criteria :				
Wall Element T	vpe : Shell E	lement with No Out-of-Plan	ne Stiffness	

Max. Allowed Distance between Nodes (ft) : 8.00

LOAD CASE DEFINITIONS:

E5	SEISMIC 2	EQ_BOCA96/99_X_+E_Drft
E6	SEISMIC 2	EQ_BOCA96/99_XE_Drft
E7	SEISMIC 2	EQ_BOCA96/99_Y_+E_Drft
E8	SEISMIC 2	EQ_BOCA96/99_YE_Drft
W3	WIND 2	Wind_BOCA96/99_X
W4	WIND 2	Wind_BOCA96/99_Y

Level: Roof, Diaph: 1

Center of Mass (ft):	(-199.35, -125.46)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	1.75780	0.22771	-0.00014
E6	1.74743	0.24134	0.00003
E7	0.26674	1.52038	0.00024
E8	0.28738	1.49388	-0.00011
W3	0.53768	0.07426	-0.00001
W4	0.14830	0.72279	-0.00003

Level: Eighth, Diaph: 1

Center of Mass (ft):	(-199.03, -124.88)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	1.53605	0.20454	-0.00012
E6	1.52601	0.21440	0.00003
E7	0.23540	1.28129	0.00019
E8	0.25541	1.26199	-0.00011
W3	0.48730	0.06895	-0.00001
W4	0.13651	0.64298	-0.00003

Level: Seventh, Diaph: 1

Center of Mass (ft):	(-199.04, -116.35)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	1.32346	0.18109	-0.00010
E6	1.30219	0.18609	0.00002
E7	0.19049	1.03325	0.00015
E8	0.23301	1.02337	-0.00010
W3	0.43267	0.06259	-0.00001
W4	0.12667	0.54784	-0.00004

Level: Sixth, Diaph: 1 Center of Mass (ft): (-198.72, -120.32)

Center of Mass (II):	(-198./2, -120.32)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	1.09367	0.15409	-0.00008
E6	1.08109	0.15756	0.00002
E7	0.16916	0.84458	0.00012
E8	0.19438	0.83769	-0.00009
W3	0.37456	0.05533	-0.00000
W4	0.11072	0.46687	-0.00003

Level: Fifth, Diaph: 1 Center of Mass (ft): (-190 79 -125 41)

Center of Mass (ft):	(-190.79, -125.41)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	0.86778	0.12082	-0.00007
E6	0.86296	0.13014	0.00001
E7	0.14598	0.66657	0.00009
E8	0.15568	0.64785	-0.00007
W3	0.31319	0.04706	-0.00000
W4	0.09337	0.37874	-0.00003



W3 W4

RAM Frame v11.2 DataBase: 303 Third Street - Steel Joist Building Code: IBC

Story Displacements

0.01739 0.11292

-0.00000 -0.00001

0			
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	0.66163	0.09929	-0.00005
E6	0.65615	0.10245	0.00001
E7	0.11484	0.48076	0.00006
E8	0.12592	0.47438	-0.00005
W3	0.25119	0.03924	-0.00000
W4	0.07846	0.29178	-0.00002
Level: Third, Diaph: 1			
Center of Mass (ft):	(-195.37, -122.51)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	0.45355	0.06930	-0.00003
E6	0.44985	0.07112	0.00001
E7	0.08077	0.31169	0.00004
E8	0.08829	0.30800	-0.00004
W3	0.18289	0.02879	-0.00000
W4	0.05784	0.20150	-0.00002
Level: 2nd, Diaph: 1			
Center of Mass (ft):	(-197.16, -122.15)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	0.26153	0.04030	-0.00002
E6	0.25921	0.04080	0.00000
E7	0.04728	0.16192	0.00002
E8	0.05201	0.16091	-0.00002

0.11194 0.03559

Gravity Column Design TakeOff



RAM Steel v11.2 DataBase: 303 Third Street - Steel Building Code: MASS

Steel Grade: 50

I section

Size	#	Length (ft)	Weight (lbs)
W12X40	146	2966.5	118104
W12X45	11	225.0	10030
W12X50	14	295.0	14656
W12X53	29	625.0	33177
W12X58	5	110.0	6363
W12X65	19	430.0	27947
W12X72	3	75.0	5385
W12X87	6	150.0	13067
W12X96	2	50.0	4798
W12X106	1	15.0	1593
	236		235119



RAM Frame v11.2 DataBase: 303 Third Street - Steel Building _____

Wide Flange:				
Steel Grade: 50				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
W14X109	7	105.0	11433	
W14X120	18	270.0	32431	
W14X132	21	315.0	41588	
W14X145	2	30.0	4359	
W14X159	6	90.0	14302	
	54		104113	2.35
Beams:				
Wide Flange:				
Steel Grade: 50				
Size	#	Length ft	Weight lbs	UnitWt psf
W12X30	15	271.2	8113	-
W14X22	2	52.0	1148	
W14X43	2	54.8	2351	
W14X30	2	50.0	1506	
W14X34	1	26.0	885	
W14X38	1	26.0	991	
W16X50	1	18.1	905	
W18X65	19	456.0	29636	
W18X71	2	41.9	2967	
W21X73	1	18.1	1323	
	46		49824	1.12
Braces:				
Tube:				
Steel Grade: Other				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
HSS7X7X1/2	1	19.9	786	
HSS8X6X1/2	10	178.6	7051	
HSS9X7X1/2	2	39.7	1824	
HSS9X7X5/8	2	39.7	2215	
HSS9X5X5/8	6	108.5	5168	
HSS9X9X1/2	6	116.5	6065	
HSS9X5X1/2	10	175.1	6913	
	37		30022	0.68

TOTAL STRUCTURE FRAME TAKEOFF

Floor Area (ft**2): 317872.6

Columns:

Steel Glade. 50				
Size	#	Length	Weight	UnitWt
		ft	lbs	psf
W14X61	78	799.0	48666	
W14X68	78	780.0	53082	
W14X90	104	1040.0	93779	
W14X74	28	280.0	20770	
W14X82	4	40.0	3267	
W14X109	14	175.0	19055	
W14X120	36	450.0	54052	
W14X132	42	525.0	69313	
W14X145	4	50.0	7265	
W14X159	12	150.0	23836	
	400		393086	1 24

Beams:

Wide Flange: Steel Grade: 50



W12X14 1 1 2.5 pr W12X26 3 54.2 1412 W12X30 113 2043.4 61118 W14X2 2 25.0 1148 W14X3 46 1139.7 48863 W14X30 4 98.0 2951 W14X30 4 98.0 2951 W14X26 1 24.0 628 W14X31 1 26.0 885 W14X54 1 26.0 885 W14X53 2 50.0 1906 W14X38 2 50.0 1906 W14X74 14 329.9 24473 W16X50 1 18.1 905 W18X71 2 41.9 2967 W18X73 1 18.1 1323 311 31396 0.99 Braces: Size # f bs Size # Longth Weight UnitWt	Size	#	Length ft	Weight lbs	UnitWt nsf	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W12X14	1	18.1	256	Por	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W12X26	3	54.2	1412		
$\begin{array}{c cccc} W14X22 & 2 & 52.0 & 1148 \\ W14X30 & 4 & 98.0 & 2951 \\ W14X30 & 4 & 98.0 & 2951 \\ W14X48 & 31 & 684.7 & 32849 \\ W14X53 & 2 & 1 & 24.0 & 628 \\ W14X53 & 21 & 497.9 & 26431 \\ W14X53 & 21 & 497.9 & 26431 \\ W14X68 & 28 & 665.9 & 45319 \\ W14X68 & 28 & 665.9 & 45319 \\ W14X38 & 2 & 50.0 & 1906 \\ W14X74 & 14 & 329.9 & 24473 \\ W16X50 & 1 & 18.1 & 905 \\ W18X65 & 19 & 456.0 & 29636 \\ W18X71 & 2 & 41.9 & 2967 \\ W21X73 & 1 & 18.1 & 1323 \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	W12X30	113	2043.4	61118		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	W14X22	2	52.0	1148		
$\begin{array}{c cccc} W14X30 & 4 & 98.0 & 2951 \\ W14X48 & 31 & 684.7 & 32849 \\ W14X26 & 1 & 24.0 & 628 \\ W14X53 & 21 & 497.9 & 26431 \\ W14X34 & 1 & 26.0 & 885 \\ W14X68 & 28 & 665.9 & 45319 \\ W14X38 & 2 & 50.0 & 1906 \\ W14X74 & 14 & 329.9 & 24473 \\ W16X50 & 1 & 18.1 & 905 \\ W18X55 & 19 & 456.0 & 29636 \\ W18X71 & 2 & 41.9 & 2967 \\ W21X73 & 1 & 18.1 & 1323 \\ \hline & 311 & 313396 & 0.99 \\ \hline \\ Braces: \\ \hline \\ Tube: \\ \hline \\ Tube: \\ \hline \\ Fue: \\ Steel Grade: Other \\ Size & \# & Length & Weight & UnitWt \\ ft & bs \\ HSS4X3X38 & 2 & 27.7 & 386 \\ HSS4X4X38 & 2 & 27.7 & 386 \\ HSS4X4X38 & 2 & 27.7 & 451 \\ HSS5X5X12 & 2 & 30.7 & 824 \\ HSS6X4X5/16 & 4 & 55.4 & 992 \\ HSS7X7X12 & 1 & 19.9 & 786 \\ HSS7X7X58 & 8 & 107.9 & 5138 \\ HSS7X7X58 & 8 & 107.9 & 5138 \\ HSS7X7X58 & 8 & 107.9 & 5138 \\ HSS7X5X38 & 25 & 332.1 & 8566 \\ HSS7X5X58 & 50 & 686.5 & 27331 \\ HSS8X4X5/12 & 10 & 178.6 & 7051 \\ \hline \end{array}$	W14X43	46	1139.7	48863		
$\begin{array}{c cccc} W14X48 & 31 & 684.7 & 32849 \\ W14X26 & 1 & 24.0 & 628 \\ W14X35 & 21 & 497.9 & 26431 \\ W14X61 & 21 & 497.9 & 30328 \\ W14X48 & 21 & 66.0 & 885 \\ W14X48 & 22 & 665.9 & 45319 \\ W14X38 & 2 & 50.0 & 1906 \\ W14X74 & 14 & 329.9 & 24473 \\ W16X50 & 1 & 18.1 & 905 \\ W18X65 & 19 & 456.0 & 29636 \\ W18X71 & 2 & 41.9 & 2967 \\ W21X73 & 1 & 18.1 & 1323 \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	W14X30	4	98.0	2951		
$\begin{array}{c cccc} & W14X26 & 1 & 24.0 & 628 \\ W14X53 & 21 & 497.9 & 26431 \\ W14X61 & 21 & 497.9 & 30328 \\ W14X34 & 1 & 26.0 & 885 \\ W14X68 & 28 & 665.9 & 45319 \\ W14X78 & 2 & 50.0 & 1906 \\ W14X74 & 14 & 329.9 & 24473 \\ W16X50 & 1 & 18.1 & 905 \\ W18X65 & 19 & 456.0 & 29636 \\ W18X71 & 2 & 41.9 & 2967 \\ W21X73 & 1 & 18.1 & 1323 \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	W14X48	31	684.7	32849		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline W14X53 & 21 & 497.9 & 26431 \\ \hline W14X54 & 1 & 26.0 & 885 \\ \hline W14X68 & 28 & 665.9 & 45319 \\ \hline W14X38 & 2 & 50.0 & 1906 \\ \hline W14X74 & 14 & 329.9 & 24473 \\ \hline W16X50 & 1 & 18.1 & 905 \\ \hline W18X65 & 19 & 456.0 & 29636 \\ \hline W18X71 & 2 & 41.9 & 2967 \\ \hline W21X73 & 1 & 18.1 & 1323 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & &$	W14X26	1	24.0	628		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline $V14X61$ & 21 & 497.9 & 30328 \\ $W14X34$ & 1 & 26.6 & $85.$ \\ $W14X38$ & 2 & 665.9 & 45319 \\ $W14X38$ & 2 & 50.0 & 1906 \\ $W14X74$ & 14 & 329.9 & 24473 \\ $W16X50$ & 1 & 9 & 456.0 & 29636 \\ $W18X65$ & 19 & 456.0 & 29636 \\ $W18X71$ & 2 & 41.9 & 2967 \\ $W21X73$ & 1 & 18.1 & 1323 \\ \hline 311 & 313396 & 0.99 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	W14X53	21	497.9	26431		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W14X61	21	497.9	30328		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W14X34	1	26.0	885		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W14X68	28	665.9	45319		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W14X38	2	50.0	1906		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W14X74	14	329.9	24473		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W16X50	1	18.1	905		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W18X65	19	456.0	29636		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W18X71	2	41.9	2967		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	W21X73	1	18.1	1323		
Braces: Tube: Steel Grade: Other Size # Length Veight UnitWt ft lbs psf HSS4X3X3/8 2 27.7 386 HSS4X4X3/8 2 27.7 451 HSS5X5X1/2 2 30.7 824 HSS6X4X5/16 4 55.4 992 HSS6X4X3/8 15 207.5 4363 HSS6X4X3/8 15 207.5 4363 HSS6X4X1/2 21 283.1 7591 HSS7X7X1/2 1 19.9 786 HSS7X7X1/2 1 19.9 786 HSS7X7X5/8 8 107.9 5138 HSS7X5X3/8 25 332.1 8566 HSS7X5X3/8 25 332.1 8566 HSS7X5X3/8 50 686.5 27331 HSS8X6X1/2 10 178.6 7051		311		313396	0.99	
Tube: Steel Grade: Other Size # Length Weight UnitWt b psf HSS4X3X3/8 2 27.7 386 HSS4X4X3/8 2 27.7 451 HSS55X51/2 2 30.7 824 HSS6X4X5/16 4 55.4 992 HSS6X4X3/8 15 207.5 4363 HSS6X4X3/8 15 207.5 4363 HSS6X4X1/2 21 283.1 7591 HSS7X7X1/2 1 19.9 786 HSS7X5X3/8 25 332.1 8566 HSS7X5X1/2 45 605.8 20079 HSS7X5X1/2 45 605.8 20079 HSS7X5X5/8 50 686.5 27331 HSS8X6X1/2 10 178.6 7051	Braces:					
Steel Grade: OtherSize#Length tengthWeight UnitWtftlbspsfHSS4X3X3/8227.7386HSS4X4X3/8227.7451HSS5X5X1/2230.7824HSS6X4X5/16455.4992HSS6X4X3/815207.54363HSS6X4X3/815207.54363HSS6X4X3/815207.54363HSS6X4X1/221283.17591HSS7X7X5/88107.95138HSS7X5X3/825332.18566HSS7X5X1/245605.820079HSS7X5X5/850686.527331HSS8X6X1/210178.67051	Tube:					
Size#Length tWeight tUnitWtftlbspsfHSS4X3X3/8227.7386HSS4X4X3/8227.7451HSS4X4X3/8227.7451HSS6X4X5/16455.4992HSS6X4X5/16455.4992HSS6X4X3/815207.54363HSS6X4X3/815207.54363HSS6X4X1/221283.17591HSS7X7X5/88107.95138HSS7X5X3/825332.18566HSS7X5X3/825332.18566HSS7X5X5/850686.527331HSS8X6X1/210178.67051	Steel Grade: Other					
It Its Its <thits< th=""> Its <thits< th=""> <thits< th=""> <thits< th=""></thits<></thits<></thits<></thits<>	Size	#	Length ft	Weight	UnitWt psf	
HISHAXX/8 2 27.7 451 HSS4X4X3/8 2 27.7 451 HSS5X5X1/2 2 30.7 824 HSS6X4X5/16 4 55.4 992 HSS6X4X3/8 15 207.5 4363 HSS6X4X1/2 21 283.1 7591 HSS7X7X1/2 1 19.9 786 HSS7X5X3/8 25 332.1 8566 HSS7X5X1/2 45 605.8 20079 HSS7X5X5/8 50 686.5 27331 HSS8X6X1/2 10 178.6 7051	HSS/1X3X3/8	2	27.7	386	psi	
HISBARAS/6 2 2/17 401 HISBARAS/6 2 30.7 824 HISBARAS/16 4 55.4 992 HISBARAS/16 4 55.4 992 HISBARAS/16 4 55.4 992 HISBARAS/16 15 207.5 4363 HISBARAS/8 15 207.5 4363 HISBARAS/8 15 207.5 4363 HISBARAS/1/2 1 19.9 786 HISBARAS/8 8 107.9 5138 HISBARAS/8 25 332.1 8566 HISBARAS/1/2 45 605.8 20079 HISBARAS/8 50 686.5 27331 HISBARAS/2 10 178.6 7051	HSS/XJ/3/8	2	27.7	451		
HSS63X3712 12 50.7 624 HSS63X4X5/16 4 55.4 992 HSS6X4X3/8 15 207.5 4363 HSS6X4X1/2 21 283.1 7591 HSS7X7X1/2 1 19.9 786 HSS7X5X3/8 25 332.1 8566 HSS7X5X1/2 45 605.8 20079 HSS7X5X5/8 50 686.5 27331 HSS8X6X1/2 10 178.6 7051	H\$\$\$¥\$¥1/2	2	27.7	431 824		
HSS6X4X3/10 14 53.4 592 HSS6X4X3/8 15 207.5 4363 HSS6X4X1/2 21 283.1 7591 HSS7X7X1/2 1 19.9 786 HSS7X7X5/8 8 107.9 5138 HSS7X5X3/8 25 332.1 8566 HSS7X5X5/8 50 686.5 27331 HSS8X6X1/2 10 178.6 7051	HSS5X5X1/2 HSS6X4X5/16	4	55.4	002		
H35044X5/8 15 207.5 4305 HSS6X4X1/2 21 283.1 7591 HSS7X7X1/2 1 19.9 786 HSS7X5X5/8 8 107.9 5138 HSS7X5X3/8 25 332.1 8566 HSS7X5X5/8 50 686.5 27331 HSS8X6X1/2 10 178.6 7051	HSS6X4X3/10 HSS6X4X3/8	4	207.5	1363		
HISBOARA 1/2 21 285.1 7371 HISBOARA 1/2 1 19.9 786 HISBOARA 1/2 1 19.9 786 HISBOARA 1/2 8 107.9 5138 HISBOARA 1/2 45 605.8 20079 HISBOARA 1/2 10 178.6 7051	HSS6X4X1/2	21	207.5	7501		
HSS7X7X5/8 8 107.9 5138 HSS7X5X3/8 25 332.1 8566 HSS7X5X1/2 45 605.8 20079 HSS7X5X5/8 50 686.5 27331 HSS7X5X1/2 10 178.6 7051	H\$\$7X7X1/2	1	10.0	786		
HSS7X7X5/8 25 332.1 8566 HSS7X5X3/8 25 605.8 20079 HSS7X5X5/8 50 686.5 27331 HSS8X6X1/2 10 178.6 7051	HSS7X7X5/8	8	107.9	5138		
HSS7X5X5/6 25 552.1 6050 HSS7X5X1/2 45 605.8 20079 HSS7X5X5/8 50 686.5 27331 HSS8X6X1/2 10 178.6 7051	HSS7X5X3/8	25	332.1	8566		
HSS7X5X5/8 50 686.5 27331 HSS8X6X1/2 10 178.6 7051	HSS7X5X5/6	45	605.8	20079		
HSSX6X1/2 10 178.6 7051	HSS7X5X5/8	50	686.5	27331		
	HSS8X6X1/2	10	178.6	7051		
HSS9X7X1/2 2 39.7 1824	HSS9X7X1/2	2	39.7	1824		
HSS9X7X5/8 2 39.7 2215	HSS9X7X5/8	2	39.7	2215		
HSSAVEV/2 6 116.5 6065	HSS9X9X1/2	6	116.5	6065		
HSS9X5X1/2 49 710.2 28031	HSS9X5X1/2	40	710.2	28031		
HSS9X5X5/8 47 694 9 33105	HSS9X5X5/8	47	694.9	33105		

47 291

154798

0.49

Note: Length and Weight based on Centerline dimensions.

04/10/08 10:31:20

LOAD CASE: SEISMIC 2	Equivalant	Lataral Farras				
Av: 0 120 Aa: 0	Equivalent	Lateral Force	Soil Type: S3			
Provisions for: Force	120		Son Type. 55			
Ground Level: Base						
Dir Eccent R		Ta Equation		Building Period-T		
X + And - 5.0		Std,Ct=0.020		Calculated		
1 + Ald - 5.0		Stu,Ct=0.020		Calculated		
Dir Ta	Ca	Т	T-used	Cs	k	
X 0.562	1.620	1.645	0.911	0.0460	1.206	
Y 0.562	1.620	1.341	0.911	0.0460	1.206	
Total Building Weight (kips) $= 3$	3744.66					
APPLIED DIAPHRAGM FORCES						
Type: EQ_BOCA96/99_X_+E_F						
Level	Diaph.#	Ht	Fx	Fy	X	Y
Roof	1	п 85.50	K1ps 409.00		π _100 /1	п -113.66
Eighth	1	75.00	199.92	0.00	-199.35	-113.10
Seventh	1	65.00	326.92	0.00	-199.70	-104.19
Sixth	1	55.00	198.39	0.00	-199.05	-107.88
Fifth	1	45.00	170.99	0.00	-191.22	-112.87
Fourth	1	35.00	120.39	0.00	-195.62	-110.06
Third	1	25.00	80.83	0.00	-195.65	-110.06
2110	1	15.00	44.79	0.00	-19/.00	-109.69
APPLIED STORY FORCES						
Type: EQ_BOCA96/99_X_+E_F	L1+	Fv	Ex			
Level	ft	kins	r y kins			
Roof	85.50	409.00	0.00			
Eighth	75.00	199.92	0.00			
Seventh	65.00	326.92	0.00			
Sixth	55.00	198.39	0.00			
Fifth	45.00	170.99	0.00			
Fourth	35.00	120.39	0.00			
2nd	25.00	80.83 44 79	0.00			
2114	15.00	11.75	0.00			
		1551.23	0.00			
APPLIED DIAPHRAGM FORCES						
Type: EQ_BOCA96/99_XE_F						
Level	Diaph.#	Ht	Fx	Fy	X	Y
Poof	1	tt 85.50	kips	kips	100 41	127.21
Fighth	1	75.00	199.00	0.00	-199.41	-136.65
Seventh	1	65.00	326.92	0.00	-199.70	-128.80
Sixth	1	55.00	198.39	0.00	-199.05	-132.49
Fifth	1	45.00	170.99	0.00	-191.22	-137.48
Fourth	1	35.00	120.39	0.00	-195.62	-134.67
Third	1	25.00	80.83	0.00	-195.65	-134.67
2nd	1	15.00	44.79	0.00	-19/.00	-134.30
APPLIED STORY FORCES						
1ype: EQ_BUCA96/99_X_E_F Level	Ш+	Ex	F			
Level	пı ft	гх kins	r'y kine			
Roof	85.50	409.00	0.00			
Eighth	75.00	199.92	0.00			
Seventh	65.00	326.92	0.00			
Sixth	55.00	198.39	0.00			
Fifth	45.00	170.99	0.00			
rourm Third	35.00 25.00	120.39	0.00			
2nd	15 00	44 79	0.00			
	12.00	(1 , <i>1</i>)	0.00			
		1551.23	0.00			
APPLIED DIAPHRAGM FORCES						
Type: EQ_BOCA96/99_Y_+E_F						
Level	Diaph.#	Ht	Fx	Fy	X	Y
Roof	1	tt 85 50	kips 0.00	kips 409.00	1t -179 14	11 -125 43
	-	50.00	0.00			- 200



Loads and Applied Forces

RAM Frame v11.2 DataBase: 303 Third Street - Steel Page 2/3 04/10/08 10:31:20

DataBase: 303 In	ird Street - Steel					04/10/08 10:31
Eighth	1	75.00	0.00	199.92	-179.07	-124.87
Seventh	1	65.00	0.00	326.92	-178.95	-116.49
Sixth	1	55.00	0.00	198.39	-178.30	-120.19
Fifth	1	45.00	0.00	170.99	-170.47	-125.18
Fourth	1	35.00	0.00	120.39	-174.87	-122.36
Third	1	25.00	0.00	80.83	-174.90	-122.37
2nd	1	15.00	0.00	44.79	-176.92	-121.99
APPLIED STORY FORCE	ES					
Type: EQ BOCA96/99	Y +E F					
Level	Ht	Fx	Fy			
	ft	kips	kips			
Roof	85.50	0.00	409.00			
Eighth	75.00	0.00	199.92			
Seventh	65.00	0.00	326.92			
Sixth	55.00	0.00	198.39			
Fifth	45.00	0.00	170.99			
Fourth	35.00	0.00	120.39			
Third	25.00	0.00	80.83			
2nd	15.00	0.00	44.79			
		0.00	1551.23			
	CODOEC					
Type: EO_BOCA96/09	FORCES					
Level	_1_L_1 Diaph #	Ht	Fx	Fv	x	v
Lever	Diapit.	ft	kins	kins	ft	ft
Roof	1	85 50	0.00	409.00	-219 69	-125 43
Fighth	1	75.00	0.00	199.92	-219.62	-124.87
Seventh	1	65.00	0.00	326.92	-220.45	-116.49
Sixth	1	55.00	0.00	198 39	-219 79	-120.19
Fifth	1	45.00	0.00	170.99	-211.07	-125.18
Fourth	1	35.00	0.00	120.39	-216.37	-122.10
Third	1	25.00	0.00	80.83	-216.40	-122.30
2nd	1	15.00	0.00	44.79	-218.41	-121.99
APPLIED STORV FORCE	25					
Type: EO BOCA96/99	У-Е F					
Level	- – – Ht	Fx	Fv			
	ft	kips	kips			
Roof	85 50	0.00	409.00			
Eighth	75.00	0.00	199 92			
Seventh	65.00	0.00	326.92			
Sixth	55.00	0.00	198 39			
Fifth	45.00	0.00	170.99			
Fourth	35.00	0.00	120.39			
Third	25.00	0.00	80.83			
2nd	15.00	0.00	44.79			
	10100	5.00				
		0.00	1551.23			



LOAD CASE: WIND 2

WindBOCA 96/99Exposure:BBasic Wind Speed (mph): 90.0Importance Factor: 1.000Internal Pressure Coeff GCpi: +0.25/-0.25 (Condition 1)Mean Roof Height (ft): Top Story Height + Parapet = 85.50Ground Level:Base

WIND PRESSURES:

Gh = 1.330	CpWindward	= 0.80		Pv = 20.74 pst	f	
Height	Kz	CpLeeWa	rd	Pressu	re (psf)	
ft		Х	Y	Х	Y	
85.50	0.798 -0	.356 -	0.500	25.411	28.586	
75.00	0.752 -0	.356 -	0.500	24.416	27.591	
65.00	0.706 -0	.363 -	0.500	23.550	26.568	
55.00	0.656 -0	.363 -	0.500	22.436	25.454	
45.00	0.600 -0	.363 -	0.500	21.202	24.220	
35.00	0.536 -0	.363 -	0.500	19.804	22.822	
25.00	0.462 -0	.303 -	0.500	18.101	21.179	
15.00	0.368 -0	363	0.500	16.093	19.111	
0.00	0.308 -0	.303 -	0.300	10.095	19.111	
APPLIED DIAPHRAGM	FORCES					
Type: wind_BOCA96	/99_A Diaph #	LI+	Ev	En	v	v
Level	Diapii.#	ПL Ө	ГX Iring	гy	A A	1 ft
Poof	1	85 50	31 01	0.00	210.10	128.45
Fighth	1	85.50 75.00	50.02	0.00	-210.10	-128.43
Seventh	1	65.00	56.59	0.00	-210.10	-128.45
Sixth	1	55.00	55.16	0.00	-214.81	-127.11
Fifth	1	45.00	52.10	0.00	-214.81	-125.79
Fourth	1	35.00	48 64	0.00	-214.01	-125.79
Third	1	25.00	44 52	0.00	-214.01	-125.79
2nd	1	15.00	50.35	0.00	-214.81	-125.79
APPLIED STORY FORC	CES	10100	00000	0100	21 1101	120177
Type: Wind_BOCA96	/99_X					
Level	Ht	Fx	Fy			
	ft	kips	kips			
Roof	85.50	31.01	0.00			
Eighth	75.00	59.02	0.00			
Seventh	65.00	56.59	0.00			
Sixth	55.00	55.16	0.00			
Fifth	45.00	52.11	0.00			
Fourth	35.00	48.64	0.00			
Third	25.00	44.52	0.00			
2nd	15.00	50.35	0.00			
		397.39	0.00			
APPLIED DIAPHRAGM	I FORCES					
Type: Wind_BOCA96	/99_Y					
Level	Diaph.#	Ht	Fx	Fy	Х	Y
		ft	kips	kips	ft	ft
Roof	1	85.50	0.00	60.15	-210.10	-128.45
Eighth	1	75.00	0.00	114.70	-210.10	-128.45
Seventh	1	65.00	0.00	108.92	-212.45	-125.79
Sixth	1	55.00	0.00	105.54	-214.81	-125.79
Fifth	1	45.00	0.00	100.39	-214.81	-125.79
Fourth	1	35.00	0.00	94.53	-214.81	-125.79
Third	l	25.00	0.00	87.59	-214.81	-125.79
2nd	1	15.00	0.00	100.56	-214.81	-125.79
APPLIED STORY FORC	CES					
Type: Wind_BOCA96	/99_Y					
Level	Ht	Fx	Fy			
	ft	kips	kips			
Roof	85.50	0.00	60.15			
Eighth	75.00	0.00	114.70			
Seventh	65.00	0.00	108.92			
Sixth	55.00	0.00	105.54			
Fifth	45.00	0.00	100.39			
Fourth	35.00	0.00	94.53			
I hird	25.00	0.00	87.59			
∠nu	15.00	0.00	100.56			

0.00

772.38



CRITERIA:

Rigid End Zones: Include Effects: 50.00			% Reduction			
Member Force Output:		At Face of Joint				
P-Delta:	Yes	Scale Factor:	1.33			
Ground Level:	Base					
Wall Mesh Criteria :						
Wall Element Type : Shell Element with No Out-of-Plane Stiffness						

Wall Element Type : Shell Element with No Out-of-Plane Stiffness Max. Allowed Distance between Nodes (ft) : 8.00

LOAD CASE DEFINITIONS:

E5	SEISMIC 2	EQ_BOCA96/99_X_+E_Drft
E6	SEISMIC 2	EQ_BOCA96/99_XE_Drft
E7	SEISMIC 2	EQ_BOCA96/99_Y_+E_Drft
E8	SEISMIC 2	EQ_BOCA96/99_YE_Drft
W3	WIND 2	Wind_BOCA96/99_X
W4	WIND 2	Wind_BOCA96/99_Y

Level: Roof, Diaph: 1

Center of Mass (ft):	(-199.41, -125.43)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	1.55420	0.16543	-0.00014
E6	1.54306	0.19687	0.00003
E7	0.19752	1.48095	0.00029
E8	0.21884	1.42107	-0.00003
W3	0.39762	0.04865	-0.00001
W4	0.09748	0.61121	0.00001

Level: Eighth, Diaph: 1

Center of Mass (ft):	(-199.35, -124.87)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	1.32599	0.14750	-0.00012
E6	1.31565	0.17235	0.00002
E7	0.17068	1.21636	0.00024
E8	0.19048	1.16884	-0.00004
W3	0.35304	0.04466	-0.00001
W4	0.08803	0.53319	0.00000

Level: Seventh, Diaph: 1

Center of Mass (ft): (-199.70, -116.49)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	1.11054	0.12792	-0.00010
E6	1.09049	0.14554	0.00002
E7	0.12667	0.95588	0.00018
E8	0.16512	0.92203	-0.00004
W3	0.30457	0.03950	-0.00001
W4	0.07718	0.44249	0.00000

Level: Sixth, Diaph: 1 Center of Mass (ft): (-199.05, -120.19)

Center of Mass (ff):	(-199.05, -120.19)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	0.87757	0.10170	-0.00008
E6	0.86566	0.11692	0.00001
E7	0.10888	0.76778	0.00015
E8	0.13177	0.73848	-0.00003
W3	0.25276	0.03319	-0.00000
W4	0.06513	0.36880	0.00000

Level: Fifth, Diaph: 1 Center of Mass (ft): (-191 22 -125 18)

Center of Mass (ff):	(-191.22, -125.18)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	0.65968	0.07267	-0.00006
E6	0.65500	0.09060	0.00001
E7	0.09142	0.59187	0.00011
E8	0.10043	0.55726	-0.00002
W3	0.20080	0.02646	-0.00000
W4	0.05279	0.29219	-0.00000



Story Displacements

LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	În	În	rad
E5	0.46957	0.05422	-0.00004
E6	0.46460	0.06483	0.00001
E7	0.06353	0.41608	0.00008
E8	0.07314	0.39554	-0.00001
W3	0.15117	0.02026	-0.00000
W4	0.04030	0.21840	0.00000
Level: Third, Diaph: 1			
Center of Mass (ft):	(-195.65, -122.37)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	0.30926	0.03678	-0.00003
E6	0.30604	0.04324	0.00000
E7	0.04318	0.26274	0.00005
E8	0.04942	0.25020	-0.00001
W3	0.10632	0.01442	-0.00000
W4	0.02887	0.14724	-0.00000
Level: 2nd, Diaph: 1			
Center of Mass (ft):	(-197.66, -121.99)		
LdC	Disp X	Disp Y	Theta Z
	in	in	rad
E5	0.17235	0.02174	-0.00001
E6	0.17051	0.02426	0.00000
E7	0.02502	0.13141	0.00003
E8	0.02859	0.12652	-0.00001
W3	0.06368	0.00868	-0.00000
W4	0.01765	0.08046	-0.00000